The Honorable John H. Chun 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, 10 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO Plaintiff, **DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF** 11 SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY v. **RELATING TO DEFENDANTS'** 12 **MOTIONS TO DISMISS** AMAZON.COM, INC., et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 On March 1, 2024 Defendants filed their Notice of Supplemental Authority (Dkt. #149) 16 regarding Judge Martinez's decision granting in part and denying in part Amazon's motion to 17 dismiss in Daly, et al. v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al., No. 22-cv-00910-RAJ (W.D. Wash.). 18 Defendants' description of *Daly* omits two key points. 19 First, Defendants do not mention that Judge Martinez declined to dismiss the Daly 20 plaintiffs' challenge to Amazon's subscription-cancellation practices. Specifically, the Daly 21 plaintiffs alleged that Amazon violated California and Oregon law by failing to provide a 22 "timely, and easy-to-use mechanism for cancellation" across its subscription services, including 23

Case 2:23-cv-00932-JHC Document 154 Filed 03/26/24 Page 2 of 3

Prime. See Dkt. #149 at 19-20. As relevant to the FTC's claim that Amazon failed to provide
"simple" cancellation mechanisms, the Court held that the plaintiffs had "made a prima facie
showing that [a violation of California and Oregon law] occurred related to the cancellation
mechanism." Id. at 20.
Second Defendants obscure the feet that their use of the phress "abellanced America

Prime enrollment process" refers to the enrollment process challenged in *Daly*, which is different from the enrollment processes at issue here. Specifically, the FTC's allegations challenge Amazon's Prime enrollment practices relating to (1) consumers who are attempting to purchase products on Amazon and instead end up enrolled in Prime (through, for example, the "UPDP" and "SPC" enrollment pages) and (2) consumers who enroll in Prime while thinking they are enrolling in Prime *Video*. Dkt. #67 ¶¶ 34-126; Dkt. #126 at 12-23. The *Daly* plaintiffs made no allegations regarding either of those enrollment flows. Instead, they described the enrollment experience of consumers who visit the "Amazon Website" and then affirmatively "select" a subscription service (including Prime) in which to enroll. *Daly* Complaint (Dkt. #14) ¶¶ 67-68.

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY Case No. 2:23-cv-0932-JHC

l	Dated: March 26, 2024	/s/ Evan Mendelson	
2		EVAN MENDELSON (DC Bar #996765) OLIVIA JERJIAN (DC Bar #1034299)	
3		THOMAS MAXWELL NARDINI (IL Bar # 6330190)	
4		Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW	
5		Washington DC 20580 (202) 326-3320; emendelson@ftc.gov (Mendelson)	
6		(202) 326-2749; ojerjian@ftc.gov (Jerjian) (202) 326-2812; tnardini@ftc.gov (Nardini)	
7			
8		COLIN D. A. MACDONALD (WSBA # 55243) Federal Trade Commission	
9		915 Second Ave., Suite 2896 Seattle, WA 98174	
10		(206) 220-4474; cmacdonald@ftc.gov (MacDonald)	
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			